

Address	Guidance Section	Comment	PC Response
Springfields Close	2	Factual descriptive information should be added i.e. Colden Common is a civil parish in the Winchester District, approximately 5 miles south of the city, covering 690.74 hectares (2.6670 sq. mi) with a resident population of approximately 4,200 people. A significant part of the parish lies within the South Downs National Park. The natural hay meadows of the western area of the parish lie within the protected Itchen Valley.	It was agreed to not add this text
Scotts Close	2	Line 7; South Down should read South Downs	Agree - Change to be made
Springfields Close	3	History: This is an opportunity for the historical information to be improved: e.g. 1st para Add: Known in the 13th Century as Colvedene, the origin of the name is uncertain but may come from Old English clofa (cleft) and denu (valley). Part of the area was a major centre of palaeolithic flint tool manufacture, with evidence of a "factory" covering 400 sq' metres discovered in a 1915 excavation in Highbridge. The parish was originally part of the manors of Twyford and Owslebury. 2nd para, correct dates: The Ecclesiastical Parish of Colden Common was formed in 1843, with Holy Trinity Church built to the south of Main Road. It became a civil parish in 1932. 4th /5th paras: incorporate: Several brickworks were located in the parish, including Charles Mitchell's Works which until closure in 1957 was the last brickworks in Hampshire to make hand-made bricks by the clamp method. Last para: incorporate: Colden Common grew significantly over the 20th Century, from a population of 748 in 1901 to 3,681 in 2001	It was agreed to not add this text as it is covered in the history book
Orchard Close	3	RH Column 3rd Para. The prefabs were the first development of Moors Close being numbered 1-8. (There were 8 Prefabs) I think they were built around 1948. The Moors Close brick/concrete housing was not developed until the early/mid fifties hence the first house number in Moors Close being No 9 and onwards. The caravan sites were not provided. Avondale (Formerly Brickfield Caravan Site) and Sunningdale just developed from disused brick/tile yards	Text to be rewritten as follows and therefore <b>8 temporary "pre-fabs" and caravan sites evolved.</b>
Planning Committee comment	3	Underneath the settlement map	<b>Change date to 1843 from 1844</b>
Springfields Close	4	Positions of listed buildings on the map need correcting. This can be done by simply lifting the original page 6 VDS map into the updated version. i.e. 6. Yew tree cottage is on the west side of Main Road NOT the East 8,9,10. Are alongside, not at right angles to, Main Road	Consider using map on page 7 of the 2012 VDS
Orchard Close	4	Listed Buildings. The manor house is by far the oldest building in the village dating back many centuries	Not relevant to the VDS
Piping Road	4	Map A, items 11 and 12 appear to be crossed over. The barn is cited as being South of Elm Farmhouse, but on map is shown North of the farmhouse.	Check positions on map, and change if possible although it is indicative only and not supposed to be a detailed location map
Springfields Close	5	Position of Lordswood (E) needs to be corrected and placed directly East of, and adjoining to Highbridge (B). This could be done simply by using the correct page 7 map in original VDS NOTE: Draft Map shows Lordswood in the centre of south Brambridge!	Lordswood is West of Bishopstoke Lane

Springfields Close	6	Colden Common has a wide diverse environment not best shown by a poor selection of photos. i.e.2 of the same field, 2 orchids, 2 river scenes (one of which is in Otterbourne). Suggest: Use lovely page 8 FP photo from original VDS plus a far wider mix of new photos e.g. different areas, flora and fauna.	Photo on page 6 to reduce on one page, Replace picture left of the Alpaccas with Horse drinking at the rear of Wardle Road and Alpaccas with a sledging photo. Replace Bee Orchid picture with a Bluebell or Moth picture.
Main Road	6	Photo appears to show a large open space with many public footpath, but I think this is a privately owned field, with no footpaths open to public. Therefore this indicates trespassing! Brambridge I think.	This field does have a right of way through the field.
Springfields Close	8	Viewpoint symbols on the draft map are highlighting street scenes rather than important Panoramic Viewpoint features that the symbol truly represents and what the WCC guide on VDS recommends highlighting on a map along with photos. Suggest: 4 Viewpoint symbols are repositioned to: Looking North, from Recreation Ground across FP 13 and hay meadows towards Twyford. Looking East, from Boyes Lane over equine meadows to SDNP woodland. Looking South, from Nob's Crook FPs 21 & 22 across farmland and hedgerows to Stoke Woods Looking West, from Upper Moors FP9 across to Brambridge, Itchen valley and SDNP (My attached 'snap shots' of these highly important view points are an idea of what should be featured)  - - - Error: - - - The colours of the Bridleways and Footpaths are shown on map opposite to the map index.	on Key Reverse Bridleway and footpath text as they are the wrong way round. Can the settlement boundary be a heavy black line? Is OS map has updated Sandyfields. Add Colden Common Park label so it reads Colden Common Park (recreation ground) View point from Taylors Copse need to be moved to the top of Colden Common Park and the view point on Main Road South moved South to the meadow just before the bridlesway
Birch Close	8	The legend of the map, as relating to footpaths and bridleways, is incorrect. It should be altered to show that footpaths are denoted by blue pecks on the map and the bridleway by red pecks.	Agreed - Key will be changed as above
Valley Close	8	Several footpaths are marked as bridleways	Agreed - Key will be changed as above
Orchard Close	8	Map Key. The continuous blue line, which I assume is the settlement boundary, is not listed in the map key	Agreed - Key will be changed as above
Main Road	9	Map is out of date, does not show the Sandyfields development, caravan are still there.	Waiting for OS map to be updated (which will be used IF available)
Main Road	10	travelling' in grey out box spelt wrong	Agreed - will be changed, Also incorrect under roadside views
	10	Plannng Committee review change	in first grey box change "the need to protect, preserve and enhance the green, natural patchwork of fields and woodland <b>within the Parish</b>
Springfields Close	11	Open Spaces - CC Park – layout typo – needs resetting. Recreation ground photo is awful! A photo of either the play area, new trees ,view from car park, tennis courts, would all be far better. Allotments: Should read: 'available to Colden Common Parish residents only' i.e. NOT village. Footpaths and Green spaces. Suggest change heading from footpaths to pathways. As some are not just foot paths	Layout on text under Colden Common Park needs reformatting. Recreation Ground change photo. Under The Allotments change text to read "available only to <b>Parish</b> residents" Footpaths and Small green spaces to read <b>Pathways</b> and small green spaces.
Piping Green	11	North pond. Please note that this pond has not been 'working' since shortly after it was commissioned. Occasionally it has a little mud in the bottom but the feed pipe does not bring any water. Our suspicion is that there is a break in the feeder pipe somewhere and the water is escaping into the general ground area	Outside the scope of the VDS

Pennington Close	12	<p>I write to comment on the protected hedge that runs on the edge of area E along Upper Moors Rd. I understand that this is the responsibility of HCC. It appears that, in their view, 'protected' means do nothing at all, apart from the very occasional mechanical hedge strimming along the road.</p> <p>There are a number of problems. Roots are extending under the path and putting houses in danger of damage. In particular, the small area at the end of Pennington Close needs urgent attention, and I will send a photograph. This is a very important area regarding drainage: Drainage from the close passes under here on its way to the main drain in Upper Moors Rd. At this place, beneath the overgrown plants and broken branches, there are two important inspection covers. On the last occasion that Southern Water needed to access them they had no idea where the covers were and I had to show them.</p> <p>In addition to this, very close to the covers is a very large tree that may be causing damage to the drain. The tree itself is causing concern to myself and neighbours and we have reported it to HCC with little response. Large branches have already broken off – underneath is a busy pathway.</p> <p>I am aware that this is not the responsibility of the parish council, but I trust it can be brought to the attention of the appropriate HCC department.</p>	Reported to HCC and the Parish Council are happy to assist lobbying the HCC to maintain this hedgerow.
Springfields Close	12	<p>Suggest Last para be updated to: In a combined effort by the Parish Council and residents more than 250 young native British trees were planted during 2019/2020 (PLUS: add about leaving areas uncut for the benefit of wildlife?) Planning Guidance: states incorrectly that individual TPO trees are shown on Map C when they are not. Suggest something along the lines of: Because of the high number of individual TPOs within the parish before any individual tree work is undertaken the landowner should check with WCC to ascertain if it is subject to a TPO.</p>	Change to 250. Under Planning Guidance in greyed out box remove as shown on map C
Valley Close	12	The planning guidance refers to trees and hedges as shown on map C. But Map C on page 8 does not show this information. Also, there are more areas that need TPOs, including many fine old oak trees and other mature specimens along Church Lane	Agree remove text which refers MAP C. Further requests for TPO's will be discussed by the Planning Committee and where appropriate the Council will lobby WCC to consider them.
Main Road	12	Planning Guidance - encourage more hedges and less panel fences. Hedges provide a wildlife corridor	Covered on page 17 planning guidance on all character areas
Piping Road	12	Totally agree with the sentiments of the 'Planning Guidance'.	
Scotts Close	16	Should there be mention of limits to increases in population density in planning guidance? For example, if there were proposals to develop the land currently occupied by caravan parks, new 3/4/5-bedroom houses or apartments would potentially create a larger population on the site. That would have the undesirable effect of increasing traffic on the B3354 and increase demand on the current infrastructure	The 4 park home sites in the village are protected sites under the Mobile Homes Act 1983 and the Mobile Homes Act 2013 and are operated under license from Winchester City Council

Piping Road	16	Under the heading 'Area E' the first line starts 'Area D.' This is either a typo or a misdirection	Agreed typographical error change to Area E under area E heading
Springfields Close	17	Planning Guidance – All character Areas. Just in case a developer thinks Colden Common countryside is a character area up for grabs I suggest 4 <sup>th</sup> highlighted point starts: Within the agreed settlement boundary, the provision of .....	VDS covers current settlement boundary.
Main Road	17	Although page 2 of the draft plan mentions the current settlement boundary being sufficiently enlarged to meet future needs, nothing is mentioned to that effect within any of the planning guidance points listed. Therefore, please could we add to the general planning guidance notes on page 17. There is no local requirement to extend the current settlement area or for greenfield development on any Parish countryside outside of the present settlement boundary, and this should not be considered. Any such proposal, unless for acceptable rural use, cannot be justified and would be vigorously opposed.	Mattes in relation to any review of the settlement boundary will be addressed as part of Winchester City Councils Local Plan 2036,. The Parish Council will be ctively engaged in this process and will encourage resients to fully be engaged in the process and any consultations.
Main Road	17	Any new development should consider the impact on local services and infrastructure and maintain the rural aspect of the village.	This will form part of any assessments in Local Plan 2036
Main Road	17	Larger developments should be encouraged to contain a variety of architectural styles to reflect and protect the architectural diversity and aesthetics of the village.	Relevant for development at the Clayfields site at planning application stage
Main Road	17	Senior Citizens housing Is lacking generally. A development of purpose designed two bedroom houses, with no steps/wide doors for walking aids or wheelchairs, wet room rather than baths. These would release family size houses currently occupied by just one person Location very important, on a bus route, near shop, surgery, post office, open space, Community Centre	A matter to review as part of Local Plan 2036
Orchard Close	17	Stratton's Copse borders to the South East of Colden Common Farm, with open fields to the North	Statement rather than suggested change
Piping Green	17	Planning Guidance , All Character Areas On site off road parking is a must. There are too many places in the village where the cars parked on the road cause difficult sight lines and narrow passages for larger vehicles. It is no good expecting people to use public transport when it is inadequate (see p21). Even in the smaller houses there will be those who work shifts and need to travel when the busses are not running	Winchester City Council has adopted a planning document which details Car Parking Standards
Portsmouth Road	18	There is mention of all significant roads in the village other than Portsmouth Road, B2177. This is a major through commuter route for residents south east of the village through to Winchester. It also takes significant commercial traffic, some heavy vehicles. At times when there are blockages on the M3/M27 or events at Marwell Zoo traffic of all types increases.	Noted and added to page 18
Piping Road	18	Several roads have become very unsafe because of roadside car parking and fast driving on roads used as a cut-through in the rush hour. Tees Farm road on the approach to the school, Piping Road and Spring Lane particularly on the approach to the mini round-about. Eventually someone will be injured or killed on these roads.	Outside the scope of the VDS. Hampshire highways issue.
Springfields Close	18	6th para last sentence TYPO – add.... A crossing on Highbridge Road	Agree add the word Road
Scotts Close	18	First paragraph; With regard to heavy traffic, if there are any county plans / directives for the B3354 to be a relief route when there is congestion / blockage on the M3, there should be a reference to them here	Outside the scope of the VDS

Valley Close	18	I couldn't read much of the text, because the background photo obscures it. The photo needs to be 'faded'. A major problem is the volume of (mainly rush-hour) traffic on Church Lane and the huge lorries thundering along Main Road to save a few miles on the M3/M27. How can planning rules assist in mitigating these issues?	Outside the scope of the VDS
Orchard Close	18	Para 6. Should this be Highbridge Road	Agree and changed
Scotts Close	18	The VDS refers to the amount of heavy traffic & congestion on the B3354 as a matter of concern. So is the shaking of their house foundations due to heavy traffic(night & day) a matter of some concern for residents living alongside the B3354 as it is getting progressively worse	Agree, but outside the scope of the VDS
Piping Green	18	3rd paragraph – missing word in text – should read Church Lane links there two roads south of the Village.	Agree the word South to be added
Church Lane	19	There is a distinct lack of mention of safe cycle routes to dissuade car use which would alleviate the appalling air pollution in Winchester. Why not open up the disused tow path alongside the canal which runs all the way to the Water Meadows cycling facility straight into Winchester. What better way to start the day.	The Council has been lobbying for this for many years, but sadly this is outside the scope of the VDS. This matter could be explored as the Council prepares a 5 year strategic plan. The responsibility for roads and cycle paths lies with Hampshire County Council.
Church Lane	19	Drop off parking at schools should be banned and parents should be encouraged to use the Community Centre car park.	The Parish Council cannot police roads, but does encourage parents to use the Parish Council owned community car park.
Springfields Close	19	Last point of Planning guidance – Suggest remove wording 'where reasonable' as that description is too subjective	Leave text as is as leaves at the Parish Council discretion for instance expanding the Community Centre Car Park.
Birch Close	19	Suggest insertion of wording "direct from the village, improved footpath" after "provide" in the sentence: "The Parish Council would welcome the opportunity to provide access to more of the countryside within the SDNP.", so as to read "The Parish Council would welcome the opportunity to provide, direct from the village, improved footpath access to more of the countryside within the SDNP."	Agree add in text as suggested
Birch Close	19	One of the identified "issues affecting the enjoyment of the countryside" in the "countryside access plan for the South Downs" is "Limited supply of easily accessible, inviting routes."  Therefore, to provide direction in order to alleviate this problem, I suggest a new paragraph to be inserted after: "The Parish Council would welcome the opportunity to provide access to more of the countryside within the SDNP." (as revised above). The suggested wording is: "In recognising the impediments that walkers face in accessing the adjacent SDNP direct from village, the following improvements have been identified: replacement of stiles with kissing-gates, improved waymarking, literature and signs, and path maintenance and improvement. Towards this end the Parish Council will actively liaise with appropriate statutory authorities, charitable organisations and volunteers."	The Parish Council will continue to work on access issues, but outside of the VDS

Scotts Close	19	Should there be a view on road speed limits in the area? E.g. the 50 mph limit on the B3354 n the north of the parish	Outside the scope of the VDS
Scotts Close	19	Planning Guidance-requires a transport assessment demonstrating likely impact of the development's traffic on the local highway network. Why don't the developers of Botley, Curbridge Horton Heath & all the other nearby areas have an obligation to carry out an assessment & consider the impact of their developments on the B3354 & what mitigating measures they intend to take and then share it with the Colden Common P C	Outside the scope of the VDS
Piping Road	19	What is a 'bus cage'? I have never heard the term before. Is it an enclosure where wild buses are held? Or is it simply a bus shelter where passengers wait? Sorry, but introduction of terminology like this can be confusing and divert attention away from the subject	Area to stop car parking for buses to use to stop.
Piping Road	19	More access to the countryside. The village is well served by its network of footpaths but I would like to suggest an additional path. I would like to see a path coming in to the north-west corner of the Boyes Lane recreation park. The path should come from the junction of paths 11 and 28 at Park Farm and then either turn towards the south-west along the edge of a field before turning to the south-east and reaching the top corner of the recreation ground. Alternatively a route from path 24 along the edge of Park Copse could enter the recreation ground towards its most easterly boundary. Personally I would like a route through Park Copse would take walkers through an area full of wild life. However, I believe the Copse is a private estate and the owners are probably not amenable to such a proposal.	The Parish Council has been working on this for some time, but it outside of the scope of the VDS. It relies on the goodwill of landowners.
Piping Road	20	Speed of traffic and parking too dense near to the school a danger to pedestrians crossing the road .Traffic on the school run both morning and afternoon, present speed and parking problems (hazards) again because of density	Outside the scope of the VDS
Church Lane	20	Two of the areas mention under Shops and Services have since closed, The Black Horse Pub and adjacent convenience store and Brambridge convenience store.. Have the reasons been analysed and discussed, e.g. no car parking, not up to standard, no demand etc..	Reasons have not been analysed, but outside the scope of the VDS. Facilities likely to be raised as part of Winchester City Councils Local Plan 2036.
Church Lane	20	North Pond is in a neglected overgrown state. Would it still serve its original purpose in this state?	North Pond is a wildlife area and the tree stock is managed.

Scotts Close	20	Internet / mobile. It is very likely that there will be long-term consequences of the current lockdown. One example is how home-working may well become more widely adopted post Covid-19 compared with before. Therefore, any deficiencies in broadband performance and availability should be even more very strongly highlighted, with maybe an action plan included	Outside of scope of the VDS, will be raised as part of Winchester City Councils Local Plan 2036
Valley Close	20	Internet/Mobile Phone Access: It's worse than unsatisfactory! O2 signals are almost unusable in Valley Close and Brambridge, and seem to be non-existent in much of Hensting Lane. The photo at bottom-left obscures the text.	Will check final copy to ensure text not obscured. Phone signal will be raised as part of Winchester City Council's Local Plan 2036.
Piping Road	20	Street lighting section. I think the paragraph would be clearer if it ended as '... and dimmed to reduce carbon dioxide generation.' I agree that the community needs better mobile and internet access. Whenever I need a BT (OpenReach) engineer to repair my phone lines, they are usually unable to run many of their tests because they rely on a mobile connection, in their case from E. I use Vodafone as it is the only carrier I can get with a usable signal. We either need new masts, new carriers, or an acceptance that parts of this village will stay in the dark ages. I would also say that I have refused Smart meters for gas and electricity usage. Why? Because they use the mobile networks to send in their data and, for the reasons above, they are not serviceable for me.	Street lighting text to be changed to add in the last sentence to reduce carbon <b>footprint</b>
Piping Green	20	North pond. Please note that this pond has not been 'working' since shortly after it was commissioned. Occasionally it has a little mud in the bottom but the feed pipe does not bring any water. Our suspicion is that there is a break in the feeder pipe somewhere and the water is escaping into the general ground area	Outside the scope of the VDS
Upper Moors Rd	20	RH Column .....the village where lighting is controlled by Hampshire County Council are currently extinguished for part of the night, and upgraded using energy-efficient lamps, and dimmed to reduce carbon <b>[footprint?]</b> .	Agree - add <b>footprint</b>
Portsmouth Road	21	There is no mention of the small industrial estate on the south side of Portsmouth Road. These vehicles have significant vehicle fleets, some very heavy	Add sentenceto page 21
Springfields Close	21	Public Transport – suggest replacing 'now with' to 'resulting in' Businesses – Add: Boyes Lane premise is outside the settlement. There is no mention of the Clayfields Business & Retail sites? Suggest there should be.	Agree to amendment under public transport. Suggest business units / offices located at Boyes Lane and Clayfield Park, Main Road.
Scotts Close	21	Public transport. Should there mention of local community transport and Winchester Dial a Ride for those with mobility or sensory impairment? There are also school buses during term time.	Text changed to highlight lack of public transport.
Scotts Close	21	Should there be mention that the nearest rail stations are out of the area, being located at Shawford and Eastleigh?	Added
Scotts Close	21	This might be academic with the current downturn in aviation, but should there be a view stated on expansion plans for Southampton Airport as the flight path is near to the parish?	Outside the scope of the VDS
Valley Close	21	5th bullet point: suggest a capital letter for 'Inert'	Text has been changed on this page

Orchard Close	21	Off Highbridge Road. Recycling Centre. (Confusing). This is not an inert recycling centre. The name of the company running the business is Inert Ltd.	Text has been changed on this page
Piping Road	21	One outcome from the recent pandemic is that HM Government wants to see more of us using cycles. There no provisions in Colden Common for dedicated cycle ways. Should the VDS have a consideration of this?	Outside the scope of the VDS, a matter to raise with Hampshire County Council and perhaps as part of the Winchester City Council Local Plan 2036.
Upper Moors Rd	Area A	Little to say the VDS provides a history, WCC notes and little specific guidance.	Statement rather than suggested change
Piping Road	Area A	Agree with planning guidance	Statement rather than suggested change
Church Lane	Area A	There should be a safe walking route from Brambridge Garden 'Centre' car park to the Itchen Navigation canal avoiding Kiln Lane as much as possible. It's an accident waiting to happen. There is a muddy link to canal which has been barriered off (unsuccessfully), which should be exploited.	Outside the scope of the VDS. Land is not within the ownership of the Parish Council. Comment will be considered when the Parish Council moves onto producing its strategic plan to see if any relevant authorities can improve access.
Springfields Close	Area A	Pages 13 &14. Clayfields development site (registered and 'presumed' agreed with WCC LPP2) should be included within one of the colour-coded settlement areas. AREA A – Because only part of the road is in Area A the final para should read 'incorporates the northern part of Upper Moors Road. The word 'modern' should be removed from the description of the 40+ year old bungalows. Planning Guidance Area A - The word 'Park' should be removed from the description of Clayfields. (It is a mix of natural meadow land, business & retail premises, plus one large private dwelling)	Area A area on map to be extended to include the Clayfield Park site and adjacent meadow.
Main Road	Area A	Agree, new developments should consider the current road network. Appropriate levels of parking should be available and road safety should be considered. The current settlement boundary should be maintained, to protect the rural aspect of the north and east of the village and new developments outside of these boundaries, particularly those on green field sites should be rigorously opposed	The VDS does not consider changing the existing settlement boundary. A matter for Winchester City Council Local Plan 2036.
Orchard Close	Area A	Area A is the longest established part of the village and the character of this area should be maintained. Clayfield Park. If this development succeeds. Particular attention should be paid to open spaces and tree planting. Lessons should be learned from the overriding brick appearance of Sandyfields. The density of housing should be closely monitored and kept to below 25 dwellings per hectare.	Matters to be considered in any planning application for the Clayfield site.
Springfields Close	Area A	Agree with planning guidance but it should be noted that roads are congested with on-road parking particularly on the bus route. Proximity of the Primary School should be considered	Refer to Winchester City Council's planning guidance on parking standards.

Piping Road	Area A	Attention is drawn to the lack of 'green' landscaping in the 3rd paragraph. We should encourage a really strong requirement for 'green' landscaping wherever possible. The Planning Guidance, second bullet point, draws attention to the need for new buildings to take regard of their surroundings. This guidance does not appear to be followed when I see a recent development in Hensting Lane that is really an eyesore in that area. Will any future developments adhere to the Planning Guidance or will they diverge as and when the developer so wishes? Please ensure that the Design Statement makes clear that any plans submitted adhere to this guidance	Due to being outside of the settlementary boundary, and the variety of buildings in the Hensting area, no specific guidance is given for this area
Skittle Green	Area A	Difficult for bus drivers in this area, particularly New Road. Best to avoid extra building as it would add to the existing problems	A matter for Hampshire Highways outside the scope of the VDS, although any new developments in this area should adhere to parking standards
Brickmakers Road	Area A	I agree with the guidance statement.	
Planning Committee comment	Area A	Change of text	Second to last paragraph to read "This area incorporates a section of Upper Moors Road where there is a row of bungalows
	Planning Committee Comment	Change of text - Planning guidance	Text amended to be more specific on landscaping, planting, footpaths and provision of retail
Upper Moors Rd	Area B	Little to say the VDS provides a history, WCC notes and little specific guidance.	
Piping Road	Area B	Agree with keeping low rise housing in Church Lane	Statement rather than suggested change
Church Lane	Area B	A safe cycle route should be installed encouraging cycle commuting between Eastleigh and Winchester.	Outside the scope of the VDS, matter for Hampshire Highways and Winchester City Council Local Plan 2036.
Orchard Close	Area B	Area B. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Para. Are Dimmon Cottages not part of Finches Close	Dimon Cottages, Church Lane on electoral role - Change Text to a terrace of small houses called Dimon Cottages, Church Lane
Orchard Close	Area B	The character of this area must be maintained in any future development. This can be achieved by designs reflecting the current architecture and avoidance of in vogue cladding schemes and architectural whims.	In all planning applications the Parish Council will refer to guidance in the VDS but ultimately, WCC will determine applications as the planning authority.
Springfields Close	Area B	Agree with planning guidance but the fact that Church Lane is very busy at rush-hour time as a noted "rat-run", in particular should be a consideration	Outside the scope of the VDS. The Parish Council continues to use speed reduction schemes available to them. Speedwatch volunteers sought.
Piping Road	Area B	Development south of Church Lane does not appear in the statement. I believe that area needs as much protection as possible against future development. Could a statement be added to the VDS that strengthens this protection in strong terms.	South of Church Lane is outside the current settlement boundary and high value of the landscape views features on page 10
Skittle Green	Area B	Lovely space around these homes, however, parts of Church Lane are still used as a race-track	Outside the scope of the VDS, see earlier comment on speed reduction schemes.

Brickmakers Road	Area B	I agree with the guidance statement for this area, I support the need to have a buffer area between Colden Common and Fair Oak/ Bishopstoke	South of Church Lane is outside the current settlement boundary and high value of the landscape views features on page 10
Upper Moors Rd	Area C	Little to say the VDS provides a history, WCC notes and little specific guidance.	
Piping Road	Area C	Agree with planning especially retaining building materials, style and landscaping.	Statement rather than suggested change
Church Lane	Area C	A safe cycle route should be installed encouraging cycle commuting between Fair Oak and Winchester.	Outside the scope of the VDS a matter for Hampshire Highways and the Winchester City Council Local Plan 2036.
Springfields Close	Area C	Page 15. The comparison of garden size stated within area C as 'smaller than in Area D' should be removed as gardens in Orchard Close, Colvedene Close and Fleming Place that are in Area D, have gardens similar or smaller to those in area C.	Replace the following text on second paragraph page 15 - Gardens tend to be enclosed with fences and hedges
Orchard Close	Area C	The character of this area must be maintained in any future development. This can be achieved by designs reflecting the current architecture and avoidance of in vogue cladding schemes and architectural whims.	In all planning applications the Parish Council will refer to guidance in the VDS but ultimately, WCC will determine applications as the planning authority.
Springfields Close	Area C	Agree with planning guidance.	Statement rather than suggested change
Skittle Green	Area C	children can play safely, woods and balancing ponds plus larger homes and the Wessex Business Park which is well designed to blend in to the trees	Statement rather than suggested change
Brickmakers Road	Area C	I agree with the guidance statement	Statement rather than suggested change
Upper Moors Rd	Area D	Little to say the VDS provides a history, WCC notes and little specific guidance.	Statement rather than suggested change
Piping Road	Area D	Agree with guidance especially retaining green spaces. Maybe improve exit to Highbridge Road from Lower Moors Road to cope with fast traffic from Eastleigh direction	Outside the scope of the VDS a matter for Hampshire Highways
Church Lane	Area D	Hensting Lane is in a deplorable state between the two bends about a mile in from Fishers Pond.	Outside the scope of the VDS a matter for Hampshire Highways
Valley Close	Area D	There is a need to emphasise the need for a footpath along Highbridge Road, as the traffic is fast-moving and there is a bend between Kiln Lane and Spring Lane	Outside the scope of the VDS a matter for Hampshire Highways
Orchard Close	Area D	Moors Close is not just PRC houses. The estate was developed with a mixture of Brick and PRC	Change of text - Initially developed during the post-war period, the local council built a small estate of two-storey houses with substantial gardens.
Orchard Close	Area D	The character of this area must be maintained in any future development. This can be achieved by designs reflecting the current architecture and avoidance of in vogue cladding schemes and architect whims.	In all planning applications the Parish Council will refer to guidance in the VDS but ultimately, WCC will determine applications as the planning authority.
Springfields Close	Area D	Agree with planning guidance.	Statement rather than suggested change
Skittle Green	Area D	This area is full with homes. I think it would be unwise to try and fit more in	Planning guidance is about development of existing properties as well as new development within the existing settlement boundary

Brickmakers Road	Area D	I agree with the guidance statement	Statement rather than suggested change
Upper Moors Rd	Area E	Little to say the VDS provides a history, WCC notes and little specific guidance.	Planning guidance is about development of existing properties as well as new development within the existing settlement boundary
Piping Road	Area E	Wood replacing tiles and light facings replacing dark hanging tiles...but no objections were raised by the Parish Council.	In all planning applications the Parish Council will refer to guidance in the VDS but ultimately, WCC will determine applications as the planning authority.
Springfields Close	Area E	Page16. TYPO. First para should start with words Area E, NOT Area D.	Corrected thank you
Orchard Close	Area E	Starts text with referral to area D	Corrected thank you
Orchard Close	Area E	The character of this area must be maintained in any future development. This can be achieved by designs reflecting the current architecture.	In all planning applications the Parish Council will refer to guidance in the VDS but ultimately, WCC will determine applications as the planning authority.
Springfields Close	Area E	Agree with planning guidance. Proximity of the Primary School should be considered	Statement rather than suggested change
Piping Road	Area E	In the Planning Guidance notes, in the second sentence, please change it to start 'New or modified development...' I feel that sight lines are important and that new or modified buildings should not impede or destroy such lines. As an example, a new house has been planned to be built in an existing garden at the bottom of Piping Close. Such a new build will destroy the sight lines down and beyond this Close and it is difficult to understand where it adheres to the spirit of the VDS. Therefore the VDS needs to state that such modifications are not desirable in this village. There are places where the argument could be made that an additional house will not cause any problems, but as time goes by such plots are becoming rarer. The second bullet point refers to the existing style of the area. I think that this note should be hardened up to ensure that nothing extreme is allowed. For example, if a fashion for applying stone facings to street facing walls becomes popular, without protection our houses could mimic the various styles of facings apparent in streets close to Eastleigh centre. The comment is not just to avoid imitation stone walls, but also to avoid the wooden cladding that has appeared in some house extensions.	In all planning applications the Parish Council will refer to guidance in the VDS but ultimately, WCC will determine applications as the planning authority.
Skittle Green	Area E	This area is very attractively designed and ideal for a mixture of ages and family sizes	Statement rather than suggested change
Upper Moors Rd	Area E	Area E Area D [Area E?] was the first large scale housing development built in the 1960s-70s. The main thoroughfares through the area are Brickmakers Road and Tees Farm Road, and consists of two-storey houses of varying sizes, in a variety of architectural designs. The layout avoids geometric lines with the use of small	Corrected thank you
Brickmakers Road	Area E	I agree with the guidance statement.	Statement rather than suggested change
Upper Moors Rd	Area F	Little to say the VDS provides a history, WCC notes and little specific guidance.	Statement rather than suggested change
Piping Road	Area F	Agree with planning guidance	Statement rather than suggested change

Church Lane	Area F	Nobs Crook should be exploited as an alternative cycle route avoiding main roads.	Outside of the scope of the VDS. Nobs Crook is a private road and is designated as right of way, not a bridleway (cycle way). A matter for the Parish Council strategic plan.
Springfields Close	Area F	Page16. Densities for different sites seem incorrect. I suspect Avondale has a greater density than Sunningdale. Should there also be a comparable density given for Glen Park?	Remove densities from all areas for the avoidance of doubt
Valley Close	Area F	A photo obscures the planning guidance	Check final proof for text not obscured.
Orchard Close	Area F	The mobile home parks have long been established with some parks better maintained than others. Many of the home owners maintain attractive grassed gardens with colourful flowers. The park landlords should be encouraged to take more pride in the character and appearance of the infrastructure on these estates.	Statement rather than suggested change
Springfields Close	Area F	Agree with planning guidance.	Statement rather than suggested change
Piping Road	Area F	The general aspect of the caravan parks is quite attractive. They appear to be well looked after and it would be a shame to see them become targets for developers. Are there any dangers of this happening? If so, do we need to indicate in the VDS that these areas will be protected from development	Park Homes sites are covered by the Mobile Homes Act 2013
Skintle Green	Area F	The caravan parks are attractively set-out and and fulfil an important role in the provision of affordable homes	Statement rather than suggested change
Brickmakers Road	Area F	There is a need to provide housing for all price brackets and some people who live in these households are amongst the most vulnerable however I believe there should be a better solution than mobile homes.	Statement rather than suggested change
Upper Moors Rd	Area G	Little to say the VDS provides a history, WCC notes and little specific guidance.	Statement rather than suggested change
Piping Road	Area G	Good design and use of materials here reflect the style of the old village...unlike recent planning permissions which have gone against style and use of sympathetic materials in other parts of our village!	Statement rather than suggested change
Church Lane	Area G	Where is area G?	Sandyfields
Springfields Close	Area G	Description incorrect - No trees have been kept 'within' the development. Suggest: 'Some mature trees have been kept along the perimeter of the development.'	Change text in planning guidance 2nd bulletpoint in common characteristics Some mature tree have been retained in the development ....
Orchard Close	Area G	It appears evident that the developers of area G are being very frugal with the planting of trees and shrubs. They appear to have opted for mostly non native species. This area will benefit from tree/shrub planting in future village re-planting schemes. The deficiencies in the design of this development should be something to consider in future development proposals	Statement rather than suggested change
Springfields Close	Area G	Agree with planning guidance.	Statement rather than suggested change

Piping Green	Area G	<p>Planning guidance.</p> <p>There is a need for a pedestrian crossing (with lights) to allow children from the new Sandyfields estate to cross the main road to access the newly improved 'safe' walking route to the school. Putting a traffic island refuge in the centre of the road is NOT a solution. It would be very dangerous for a mother with a buggy and primary school age children to try and stand in the middle of the road waiting for the rush hour traffic to stop and let them across. We do not want a repeat of the fatal accident at the Black Horse which was the trigger for the crossing at that location</p>	Outside the scope of the VDS, a matter for Hampshire Highways.
Skittle Green	Area G	<p>Sandyfields seems a well thought out development, however 172 dwellings can produce many extra vehicles on the main road. I think it would be unwise to consider any more developments of this size, knowing how bad the traffic can be at certain times of the day</p>	Outside the scope of the VDS, a matter for Hampshire Highways.
Brickmakers Road	Area G	<p>I would not support an increase to the number of houses surrounding this development.</p>	Guidance is for development of existing housing stock.
Upper Moors Rd	General Comment	<p>The consultation on the VDS is flawed and will not get the coverage it requires for such an important document that is supposed to be researched and developed by the local community at this time. I doubt there have been many responses.</p> <p>The previous edition was prepared before serious threats to our village by overzealous developers and land owners were apparent, they have not gone away, they wait in the side-lines waiting to profit from vague documents such as the VDS and planning/housing authority policies. Our village and particularly the greenspace around it remains at serious risk.</p> <p>If we want to really influence decisions on design and more importantly the position of new development the VDS must be more robust. The huge Welbeck 2/3 phase proposal was only prevented as Sandyfields became available, it was not stopped by the VDS, it was not really stopped by planning policy, it and other schemes proposed in the village remain a disaster waiting to happen. The settlement boundary MUST be preserved, the priority to develop brownfield sites MUST remain, this is not made clear in the VDS. The threat to Brambridge by the Eastleigh BC proposal is another example of how easily we could lose what is so important to us.</p> <p>LPP2 did agree a minor enlargement of the Colden Common settlement area, this should be enough but planners will continue to place more pressure on rural areas, we have continued to meet our target, we should not succumb to further target increases. The perceived housing need in the village is not as a result of local families it is increasing because it is a desirable area we are fortunate to live in, that pressure from outside is threatening yet again to destroy the village. The village has grown, sustainably, just, over the last 30 years but this growth cannot continue.</p>	<p>Winchester City Council has launched the Local Plan 2036 and the Parish Council will be actively engaged in the process to influence matters in relation to Colden Common as it did in the recently adopted Local Plan Part 1 &amp; 2 and the Eastleigh Local Plan. The Parish Council needs the village to be engaged to support the efforts of the Councillors.</p>

Upper Moors Rd	General Comment	<p>The VDS should not be a history book, however interesting this is, it is meant to be a Design Statement. The proposed developments in Bishopstoke/Fair Oak will add to this pressure on local roads. As before the VDS regurgitates WCC Planning Guidance, it does not really contain much about the community views or all the resulting effort that went in previously to defend the village and the green spaces.</p> <p>Have the editors looked at other good practice VDS that go much further to protect their environs?</p> <p>It also does not provide much specific Colden Common design guidance; just meet the planning requirements and developers have been given carte blanche to chuck up the usual anonymous rows of generic house types. We do not have another large site available like Sandyfields, of we crumble ad accept the artificially imposed housing needs targets we will inevitably have to expand the settlement boundary yet again into our treasured green field space.</p> <p>The photographs are rather lack lustre and certainly not reflective of where we live.</p> <p>Overall, I do not think, again, the VDS is fit for purpose, the 2020 version comes across as a very light and hasty edit of the 2012 version to appease WCC rather than work with the local community. I would suggest a revisit of the previous site-specific consultations and planning objections to get a better feel for what needs defending and what additionally needs to be said in the VDS.</p> <p>Times have changed, the threat is now far more serious, a quick edit of an eight-year-old document is not sufficient.</p>	<p>I think the purpose of the document is misunderstood. The VDS has been produced using guidance from Winchester City Council. Winchester Local Plan 2036 will look at providing the housing in the District to meet the required number of houses that Central Government allocate to the district. The Parish Council will be actively involved in process as it was for Local Plan Part 1, 2 and the Eastleigh Borough Council Local Plan. If Colden Common is given an housing target, site specific consultations and community consultations will take place at this point.</p>
Church Lane	General Comment	<p>With regard to the above. I see no need for any future development in this village except for the areas already agreed.</p>	<p>Winchester City Council Local Plan 2036 has commenced and this process will determine if Colden Common is given any revised housing targets. This Parish Council will be fully engaged in this process.</p>
Piping Road	General Comment	<p>It is vital for the village to retain what is left of its character with open greens, and cars not parked on formerly green spaces. The village won awards for these charming open greens, however some are now hedged in and have lost this open appeal. The lack of suitable garaging for modern cars has played a great part in our problems and contributes to untidy, unsafe, roadside sightlines masking approaching cars to pedestrians. and making emerging into traffic dangerous.</p>	<p>Winchester City Council has launched the Local Plan 2036 and the Parish Council will be actively engaged in the process to influence matters in relation to Colden Common as it did in the recently adopted Local Plan Part 1 &amp; 2 and the Eastleigh Local Plan. The Parish Council needs the village to be engaged to support the efforts of the Councillors.</p>
Church Lane	General Comment	<p>We think that the VDS is a good document overall. Main concerns over future development are infrastructure, such as school, medical services etc. These must be sufficient to cope with any new development. We welcome the speed limit on Church Lane and the measures taken to enforce it.</p>	<p>Statement rather than suggested change</p>
Church Lane	General Comment	<p>Main concerns over future development are infrastructure, such as school, medical services etc. These must be sufficient to cope with any new development. We welcome the speed limit on Church Lane and the measures taken to enforce it. Traffic is certainly becoming a problem, especially at certain times of the day.</p>	<p>Any changes to the development boundary will be considered as part of Winchester City Councils Local Plan 2036.</p>
Church Lane	General Comment	<p>After this pandemic we should be looking to BUILD BACK BETTER!</p>	<p>Statement rather than suggested change</p>

Pennington Close	General Comment	I would like to point out one fundamental error to the key on the map of where the footpaths and bridleways are around the village. The key indicates that the footpaths are in red and the bridleways in blue when in fact it is the other way round.	Noted and will be changed - thank you
Pennington Close	General Comment	Looking to the future with the increase in the school classroom capacity there will come an increase in an already and roads very busy and potentially dangerous traffic problem around the school at the start and the end of the day as well as the inconvenience caused to local residence - blocking access to property and roads etc. I would like to see much stricter parking/traffic measures in place – maybe involving restricted parking times around the area at these times as I have seen in other places around the country and restricted access to through traffic along Tees Farm/Upper Moors road also during these periods. The footpaths in wood and green areas in and around the village are very important and should be kept as rural as possible to preserve the historic countryside nature of the village. The amenities for a village of this size is adequate and I would not like to see any further new buildings as it would lose it rural charm and soon become another suburb.	Roads are a matter for Hampshire Highways, comment on footpaths noted
Springfields Close	General Comment	The original village VDS was the result of significant community input and, since being published, has been an asset in aiding planning decisions. This new edition has an easier to follow, improved layout.	Statement rather than suggested change
Springfields Close	General Comment	It could be further improved with the addition of a map showing the updated settlement area clearly defined within the greater parish boundary. (i.e. Updated version of page 7 map of original VDS). If need be this could be set on the back-cover page as an alternative to the picture looking North from Fair Oak	Agreed to leave as draft
Scotts Close	General Comment	This is an editorial suggestion, which may have already been considered, but is not apparent from the current draft. Map C occupies two pages, currently pages 8 and 9. If it is planned to publish the VDS document as a hard copy A4 booklet, it would be useful to place map C on the centre pages. It could then be published as a continuous map without a break in the middle of the sheet.	Review on final proof read - Action for graphic designer
Scotts Close	General Comment	A booklet to accommodate the current 22 pages would require 24 pages, i.e. 6 A3 sheets. The current pages 8 and 9 would move to the centre pages 12 and 13. The current last page, 22, would become 24 and the new pages 2 and 23 would be blank. The page showing Map D, The Current Settlement Pattern on current page 13, would appear on page 14 with the three previous pages 10-12 moved to the new pages 9, 10 and 11. This description sounds complicated, but see proposed page layout on next page of this feedback form	Review on final proof read - Action for graphic designer
Hensting Lane	General Comment	The addition of a cycle route into Winchester would provide a route for children to reach the country side, commuter route to the railways and reduce traffic congestion through the Parish and most of all help the environment and reduce air pollution.	Outside of the scope of the VDS. Nobs Crook is a private road and is designated as right of way, not a bridleway (cycle way).
Valley Close	General Comment	It's very good, but on my PC many of the photos appear larger than the boxes they should fit inside	Review on final proof read - Action for graphic designer
Main Road	General Comment	Interesting document which describes our village well. Maybe a map of the whole village today could be helpful to identify the areas referred to	Space does not allow
Main Road	General Comment	Adequate parking is important. Continued network of footpaths important	Refer to Winchester City Council's planning guidance on parking standards

Piping Close	General Comment	Inspector for the Eastleigh Local Plan – settlement gaps, highly sensitive landscapes of the South Down National Park. Eg “Section 38 & 39 of the Inspector’s report of the Eastleigh Local Plan highlights the need to be mindful of the high landscape sensitivity around Colden Common	South of Church Lane is outside the current settlement boundary and high value of the landscape views features on page 10
Orchard Close	General Comment	Most areas of the village have reached development capacity. There are very few sites available for infill development. This situation is leading to additional buildings on existing plots/gardens. These plots are often unsuitable and financial greed leads to poorly designed out of character buildings squeezed into existing and often inappropriate garden areas. (i.e. opposite the Rising Sun) Going forward special attention must be paid to discourage this type development.	Noted, although Winchester City is the planning authority, the Parish Council will continue to influence appropriateness of development within the planning policies.
Orchard Close	General Comment	In general the sporadic development of the village reflects the different styles of architecture, almost by the decade. Future building designs should reflect the area in which they are proposed both in style of building and the construction materials to be used. Futuristic designs and outlandish materials should be avoided in favour of maintaining a traditional village setting.	Covered in planning guidance
Orchard Close	General Comment	It is important that we look at the ongoing increases in volumes of traffic using the three main through routes (Main Road, Highbridge Road and Church Lane). The village should lobby the authorities to develop effective, independent cycle ways that will provide safe dedicated cycle routes through the village and on to the surrounding towns and villages. Attention should be given to the expansion of the bus service to improve the frequency and increase the destinations/connections to alternative bus routes	Outside of the scope of the VDS. Comments relevant for Winchester Local Plan 2036.
Orchard Close	General Comment	We are very lucky that the character of Colden Common has survived considering the amount of development that has occurred over the last fifty years. It is time that the local planning authority stopped dumping more and more housing on us. Every time the government expand their housing targets, WCC planning appear to look to Colden Common as their easy option. There are many more villages and locations within the WCC area capable of sustainable development. Colden Common has become an easy target for the planning authority and we are at saturation level. If we are to maintain our village community and avoid becoming a dormitory town we have to deny further expansion.	Winchester City Council has launched the Local Plan 2036 and the Parish Council will be actively engaged in the process to influence matters in relation to Colden Common as it did in the recently adopted Local Plan Part 1 & 2 and the Eastleigh Local Plan. The Parish Council needs the village to be engaged to support the efforts of the Councillors.
Orchard Close	General Comment	Let’s have a motto.  Colden Common, a nice place to live.	Statement rather than suggested change
Main Road	General Comment	have read the VDS and commend the volunteers and team who have worked hard to put together an excellent document that clearly portrays the planning considerations for Colden Common. I completely support the document.	Statement rather than suggested change
Springfields Close	General Comment	As it stands, the WCC required development numbers for Colden Common are catered for until 2031 suggesting that there is no need for development over and above the sites identified (Sandyfields and Clayfield). Green space must be protected. Development, if considered essential, should always be confined to “brown field” sites. TPOs’ should always be respected	Winchester City Council has launched the Local Plan 2036 and the Parish Council will be actively engaged in the process to influence matters in relation to Colden Common as it did in the recently adopted Local Plan Part 1 & 2 and the Eastleigh Local Plan. The Parish Council needs the village to be engaged to support the efforts of the Councillors.

Skittle Green	General Comment	Maybe parking in the roads used by buses could be more 'streamlined' It would be good to have a direct bus to Marwell zoo	Outside the scope of the VDS
Skittle Green	General Comment	The majority of areas in the village are 'full to capacity' especially with the addition of houses built in gardens in Spring Lane	Guidance is for development of existing housing stock.
Skittle Green	General Comment	Perhaps the provision of another shop and cafe would be appreciated	Outside of the scope of the VDS, but comment taken forward to Parish Strategic plan.
Skittle Green	General Comment	Provision of children's play areas is excellent, but it would be helpful to have somewhere purpose-built for the older youngsters of the village to meet (in addition to the skateboard park)	Outside of the scope of the VDS, but comment taken forward to Parish Strategic plan
Skittle Green	General Comment	I have found this document very interesting to read. We, as a family feel privileged to live in Colden Common and enjoy the the countryside around us and the green spaces provided within the village	Statement rather than suggested change
Orchard Close	Map C	The settlement boundary has been modified to allow for the Sandyfields development. This settlement boundary should be maintained in its current position to preserve the character and size of the village	Winchester City Council has launched the Local Plan 2036 and the Parish Council will be actively engaged in the process to influence matters in relation to Colden Common as it did in the recently adopted Local Plan Part 1 & 2 and the Eastleigh Local Plan. The Parish Council needs the village to be engaged to support the efforts of the Councillors.